From: <u>Miller, Garyg</u>
To: <u>Jacquelyn Young</u>

Subject: RE: San Jacinto National Remedy Review Board

Date: Tuesday, April 01, 2014 4:16:00 PM

Jackie,

The schedule will probably change, but don't have the new one yet; will send it to you when we have it done.

Regards,

Gary Miller
EPA Remedial Project Manager
214-665-8318
miller.garyg@epa.gov

From: Jacquelyn Young [mailto:jeyoung@texanstogether.org]

Sent: Tuesday, April 01, 2014 4:12 PM

To: Miller, Garyg

Subject: Re: San Jacinto National Remedy Review Board

Hi Gary,

Thank you for the notice about the schedule delay. I have been working non-stop on the report and am excited to have the extra time to receive input from the community and allies. Have there been any changes to the overall schedule?

Thank you, Jackie Young

On Mar 31, 2014, at 4:51 PM, Miller, Garyg < Miller. Garyg@epa.gov > wrote:

Jackie,

The National Remedy Review Board for the San Jacinto River Waste Pits site has been rescheduled for early June, so there is more time to put together any site/remedy comments the group may have. Please send me any comments the group may have by May 1, 2014. Please let me know if you have any questions on this.

Regards,

Gary Miller
EPA Remedial Project Manager
214-665-8318
miller.garyg@epa.gov



From: Miller, Garyg

Sent: Wednesday, March 26, 2014 10:35 AM

To: 'Jacquelyn Young'

Subject: RE: San Jacinto National Remedy Review Board

Jackie,

I've attached the guidance for the Remedy Review Board. It covers a lot of ground, and the folks may comment on anything about the site. But, the main thing is your input on the group's preferred remedy alternative – which of the alternatives would the folks like to see adopted? and what are the reasons (or rational) for that particular alternative?

I'm also including several links that describes the 9 criteria that EPA must use to evaluate/select an remedy alternative for a site:

http://www.epa.gov/superfund/cleanup/analys.htm and

http://www.epa.gov/superfund/policy/remedy/pdfs/93-55301fs4-s.pdf.

The group may also suggest a new alternative(s). To maximize effectiveness of any recommended alternative comments, should try to discuss the benefits (or benefits relative to other alternatives) of that alternative in term of the 9 criteria. FYI, I just received (on 3/21/14) a revised Feasibility Study; and it has the previous alternatives plus a new sheetpile/excavation/disposal alternative for the waste pits. I've also attached the revised Feasibility Study above, but please remember that this is still draft & being reviewed by the agencies – it is NOT final or approved, and may change in some significant ways.

I am putting together a site information package now for the Remedy Review Board, and will attach any site comments that you send (it just should be 20 pages or less).

Thanks.

Gary Miller
EPA Remedial Project Manager
214-665-8318
miller.garyg@epa.gov

From: Jacquelyn Young [mailto:jeyoung@texanstogether.org]

Sent: Wednesday, March 26, 2014 8:53 AM

To: Miller, Garyg

Subject: Re: San Jacinto National Remedy Review Board

Gary,

Thank you for the opportunity to write a report for the NRRB. I am most certainly taking advantage of this opportunity. I was wondering if you could give me any guidance as to what issues the NRRB Considers important to their recommendation and what issues the division director is likely seeking guidance on?

Thank you, Jackie Young

On Mar 24, 2014, at 1:24 PM, Miller, Garyg < Miller. Garyg@epa.gov > wrote:

Jackie,

The EPA has scheduled a National Remedy Review Board (NRRB) meeting for the San Jacinto River Waste Pits Superfund Site. The NRRB reviews proposed high-cost cleanup decisions to help evaluate whether they are consistent with current law, regulations, and Agency policy and guidance. The meeting is scheduled for April 28, 2014.

The NRRB is a technical and policy review group made up of members that have experience with both regional and Headquarters perspectives in the Superfund remedy selection process. Its members include senior managers and technical experts from each EPA region, as well as senior technical and policy experts from other EPA offices. The product of the review is a memorandum sent from the Board to the regional Superfund division director that documents the Board's recommendations about the proposed cleanup strategy.

Texans Together may prepare a summary in writing, 20 pages or less, regarding any technical issues they believe are pertinent to the cleanup decision, including your recommended cleanup approach and rationale for that approach. I will attach this summary to the site information package that will be submitted to the Board no later than Wednesday, April 2, 2014. Also, the summary will be made a part of the Administrative Record for the site.

Please let me know if you have any questions on this.

Regards,

Gary Miller
EPA Remedial Project Manager
214-665-8318
miller.garyg@epa.gov